

Comments for Planning Application 22/00093/PPP

Application Summary

Application Number: 22/00093/PPP

Address: Land East Of 16 Hendersyde Avenue Kelso Scottish Borders

Proposal: Erection of dwellinghouse and associated works

Case Officer: Euan Calvert

Customer Details

Name: Mr Graeme Gillie

Address: 20 Hendersyde Drive, Kelso, Scottish Borders TD5 7TQ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Detrimental to environment
- Increased traffic
- Land affected
- Noise nuisance
- Overlooking
- Privacy of neighbouring properties affected
- Trees/landscape affected

Comment: We object for a few different reasons. The area that is the proposed site is currently grassland. To build on this not only impacts on the natural environment for wildlife around here but also the people that live here. We are very fond of the dead end cul de sac we reside in and that is what drew us to buying the property along with the woodlands/ grass covered areas surrounding, which a lot of will be removed if this build goes ahead.

Which also leads me on to the proposed access to the property. We don't want to look at another access road or drive and are very aware that the new access and our road would be the only access in and out while the building works took place if approved. We are concerned about the mess of a one way in and out for materials and vehicles turning for the duration of the build and the increased noise in a normally quiet area.

What about the size and aesthetics of the proposed property. Is it going to match the surrounding properties in looks and size. Even if the same materials were used the surrounding properties are 20+ years older therefore it will look out of place. The proposed garden seems overly substantial for one property and in turn is removing more of the woodland area.

Comments for Planning Application 22/00093/PPP

Application Summary

Application Number: 22/00093/PPP

Address: Land East Of 16 Hendersyde Avenue Kelso Scottish Borders

Proposal: Erection of dwellinghouse and associated works

Case Officer: Euan Calvert

Customer Details

Name: Mr Derek Wichary

Address: 18 Hendersyde Drive, Kelso, Scottish Borders TD5 7TQ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Comments about play area
- Contrary to Local Plan
- Detrimental to environment
- Detrimental to Residential Amenity
- Height of
- Inadequate drainage
- Increased traffic
- Land affected
- Loss of light
- Loss of view
- Noise nuisance
- Overlooking
- Privacy of neighbouring properties affected
- Trees/landscape affected

Comment: We are opposing the planning put forward for the following reasons.

When we received this planning application, we read it in total disbelief and asked the question why?

We bought our property in 1998 and one of the main attractions was that our garden backed on to the open space/play-area which was designated for the whole estate, which meant we were not overlooked by any other properties. We purposely built our home with the main living areas overlooking the woodland which we have enjoyed for 24 years and to be faced with the prospect of our privacy being almost wholly disturbed by this development, is upsetting. We would like to ask also why the planning area is so large? What is the requirement for this as it would envelope

our property along our entire garden boundary line.

There is already enough traffic from residents parking at this end of the estate and so to have another road/access route made with extra cars, would create additional noise which would be noticeable. The prospect of having to live directly next to a building site, in what is a quite well-established residential area is not one to be relished.

Up until 2005, this open space lay as undeveloped wasteland and along with other neighbours whose properties backed on to it, we offered to purchase the land from the developer. It was agreed in principle however SBC refused the application as the area was deemed as public open space. We are not aware that the status of this land has changed. Has the ownership of this land changed?

The space is mostly used by younger children, dog walkers and those who access the woodland walks. As the woodland is now fully established, this does block natural light reaching our home (especially in the winter months) and we would be concerned that any new property built would block more of this light. The area of land is notorious for poor drainage of which we have worked tirelessly to keep our garden well drained. It is a concern that any disturbance to the land could have a knock-on effect for us.